ID:

Section:

Score: / 100

# EEE-424 Digital Signal Processing: Final-Term Exam 2009/2010

Duration: 2 hours

**Instructions:** No calculators, book or notes allowed. <u>SHOW YOUR WORK</u>! No credit for results without explanations or steps!!

#### Q.1

Given  $x[n] = \{1, 4, 1, 4, 1, 1\}$  starting at index zero.

**Q.1a** (3 pts) Calculate the estimated mean  $\hat{m}_x$  and estimated variance  $\hat{\sigma}_x^2$  of x[n].

**Solution** :  $m_x = 2$ ,  $\sigma_x^2 = 2$ .

**Q.1b** (11 pts) Propose a third order anticausal FIR filter h[n] with h[0] = 1 so that the output signal y[n], n = 1, 2, 3, 4 has smaller variance than the input signal x[n]. Calculate (approximately) the variance  $\hat{\sigma}_y^2$  of y[n], n = 1, 2, 3, 4. Specify the type of your proposal filter h[n].

**Solution** : One possible sol:  $h[-1] = h[1] = 0.5 \Rightarrow (\hat{\sigma}_y^2 \approx 1.3/4) \le \sigma_x^2$ . Lowpass.

**Q.1c** (11 pts) Propose a third order anticausal FIR filter g[n] with g[0] = 1 so that the output signal z[n] = g[n] \* x[n], n = 1, 2, 3, 4 is zero-mean. Specify the type of your proposal filter g[n].

Solution : Proposal:  $g[n] = a\delta[n-1] + \delta[n] + a\delta[n+1] \Rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{4} z[n] = 17a + 10 \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \Rightarrow a = -10/17$ . Highpass.

### Q.2

Design a Lowpass Butterworth filter with  $w_c = \pi/2$  and filter order N = 1.

**Q.2a** (5 pts) Determine the analog prototype filter  $H_a(s)$ .

**Solution** :  $\Omega_c = \frac{2}{T} \tan(\pi/4) = \frac{2}{T} \Rightarrow |H_a(s)|^2 = \frac{1}{1 + (\frac{s}{j2/T})^2}.$ 

**Q.2b** (5 pts) Determine all poles of  $H_a(s)$ .

**Solution** :  $s_1 = 2/T$ ,  $s_2 = -2/T$ 

**Q.2c** (10 pts) Apply bilinear transform to determine a stable digital filter H(z).

**Solution** : Use  $s_2$  in the left half plane:  $H_a(s) = \frac{-s_2}{s-s_2} \Rightarrow H(z) = \frac{1}{2}(1+z^{-1}).$ 

**Q.2d** (5 pts) Determine the Region Of Convergence (ROC) of the digital filter. **Solution** :  $ROC = \mathbb{C}/\{0\}$ .

## **Q.3**

Given  $x_1[n] = \{-1, -2, 0, 1, -1, 3\}$  and  $x_2[n] = \{1, 1, -3, 2, 1, -3, 1\}$ , both W.S.S. and starting at index zero.

- **Q.3a** (12 pts) Which signal is more likely white noise? Explain your answer by computing a suitable statistical measure for both signals and comparing it.
- **Solution** :  $\hat{r}_{x_1} = \{16/6, -1/3, 1/6, 1/6, ...\}, \ \hat{r}_{x_2} = \{26/7, -12/7, -9/7, 2, ...\}. \ x_1 \text{ is more likely white noise.}$
- **Q.3b** (5 pts) Consider  $y_1[n] = h[n] * x_1[n]$ , where h[n] is an FIR filter. Is  $y_1[n]$  W.S.S.? Explain your answer.
- **Solution** : FIR is an LTI system, which is linear and stable. Mean and variance are preserved over time.
- **Q.3c** (8 pts) Let w[n] be a W.S.S. zero mean white noise signal with variance 1. Compute its spectrum  $S_w(e^{j\omega})$ . Comment on your result.

**Solution** :  $r_w[n] = \delta[n] \Rightarrow S_w(e^{j\omega}) = 1 \forall \omega$ .

#### **Q.4**

Given the sequence of W.S.S. random numbers  $x[n] = \{1, 1, 2, 3, 5\}$  starting at index zero.

**Q.4a** (15 pts) A second order linear MMSE predictor  $\hat{x}[n] = a_1 x[n-1] + a_2 x[n-2]$  shall be determined by following method: Find  $a_1, a_2$  such that  $g(a_1, a_2) = \sum_{n=2}^{4} (x[n] - \hat{x}[n])^2$  is minimized. Do NOT use the ACNE (no autocorrelations!). Hint: Use derivatives  $\frac{dg(a_1, a_2)}{da_1}$  and  $\frac{dg(a_1, a_2)}{da_2}$ .

**Solution** :  $a_1 = a_2 = 1$ .

**Q.4b** (3 pts) Compute the predictions  $\hat{x}[2], \hat{x}[3], \hat{x}[4]$  and  $\hat{x}[5]$  using the predictor from [Q.4a].

**Solution** : 
$$\hat{x}[2] = 2, \hat{x}[3] = 3, \hat{x}[4] = 5, \hat{x}[5] = 8.$$

**Q.4c** (7 pts) Consider  $y[n] = \frac{\sin(n)}{n}$ ,  $n \ge 0$ . Is y[n] W.S.S.? Explain your answer!

**Solution** : This is a deterministic signal. One can compute the properties mean and autocorrelation though. Mean is zero. For the autocorrelation, we can write

$$|r_{y}[k]| = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \left| \frac{\sin(n)}{n} \frac{\sin(n+k)}{n+k} \right|$$
(1)

$$\leq \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \left| \frac{\sin^2(n)}{n^2} \right| \tag{2}$$

$$\leq \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \left| \frac{1}{n^2} \right| = 0 \ \forall k \tag{3}$$

These properties remain constant, so this signal, though being deterministic, has a mean and autocorrelation and they are constant. It satisfies W.S.S properties.

• Estimated mean:

$$\hat{m}_x = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x[n]$$

• Estimated variance:

$$\hat{\sigma}_x^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (x[n] - \hat{m}_x)^2$$

• Estimated autocorrelation (for zero mean seq.):

$$\hat{r}_x[k] = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-k-1} x[n]x[n+k], \ k \ge 0$$

• Spectrum of random signal x[n]:

$$S_x(e^{j\omega}) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} r_x[n]e^{-j\omega n}$$

• Butterworth filter:

$$|H_a(j\Omega)|^2 = \frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{j\Omega}{j\Omega_c}\right)^{2N}}, \ |H_a(s)|^2 = \frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{s}{j\Omega_c}\right)^{2N}}$$

• Poles of Butterworth filter:

$$s_k = \Omega_c e^{j\frac{\pi}{2}(1+\frac{2k+1}{N})}, \ k = 1, ..., 2N$$

• Bilinear transform:

$$\Omega = \frac{2}{T} \tan(\omega/2), \ H(z) = H_a(s) \Big|_{s = \frac{2}{T} \frac{1-z^{-1}}{1+z^{-1}}}$$