## **READING SCHEDULE FOR GE301**

- **Week 1 -** No reading assignments.
- Week 2 Martin MW and Schinzinger R. Ethics in Engineering. 2nd Edition. McGraw-Hill Publishing Company. 1989. p. 3-12; 17-20; 24-31; 33-40.
- **Week 3 -** Martin MW and Schinzinger R. Ethics in Engineering. 2nd Edition. McGraw-Hill Publishing Company. 1989. **p. 43-50; 63-70; 79-85.**
- **Week 4 -** Martin MW and Schinzinger R. Ethics in Engineering. 2nd Edition. McGraw-Hill Publishing Company. 1989. **p. 105-115; 118-142.**
- **Week 5 -** Martin MW and Schinzinger R. Ethics in Engineering. 2nd Edition. McGraw-Hill Publishing Company. 1989. **p. 145-158.**
- **Week 6 -** Martin MW and Schinzinger R. Ethics in Engineering. 2nd Edition. McGraw-Hill Publishing Company. 1989. **p. 251-261; 262-276.**
- **Week 7 –** Harris J. If it wasn't good for you, it wouldn't be enhancement. In: Öncel Ö. Namal A. Demirhan-Erdemir A. Ertin H. Atıcı E. (Eds.) High-Tech Medicine and the Physician-Patient Relationship. **p. 43-50.** Elliott C. Better than Well. 1st edition. W.W. Norton & Company. 2003. p. **129-160.**
- **Week 8 -** Martin MW and Schinzinger R. Ethics in Engineering. 2nd Edition. McGraw-Hill Publishing Company. 1989. **p. 203-211; 213-222.**

## TERM PAPER

Deadline Week 5. No more than one page, Times New Roman 12.

## **RUBRIC:**

CRITERIA /	POOR	IMPROVABLE	EXCELLENT
ASSESSM ENT			
	Irregular	Neat	Neat
Presentati on	Hard to read and comprehend	Relatively easy to read and comprehend	Informative and illuminating
Content	Incorrect or scarce knowledge	Correct, but scarce knowledge	Adequate relevant knowledge
	No moral engagement	Solely moral review	Explicit moral involvement
	Lack of reference	Flaws in references	Appropriate references
Language	Many spelling mistakes	Some spelling mistakes	No spelling mistakes
	Sloppy linguistic performance	Medium linguistic performance	Proper terminology, proficiency in language
Timeliness	Delayed 3 or more days	Delayed 1 or 2 days	Submitted on time