The Optimal Number of Friends in the Information Age

Haldun M. Ozaktas

Bilkent University, Department of Electrical Engineering TR-06800 Bilkent, Ankara, Turkey

(90) (312) 290 16 19 (telephone), (90) (312) 266 41 92 (facsimile)

haldun@ee.bilkent.edu.tr, haldun@stanfordalumni.org, www.ee.bilkent.edu.tr/~haldun Originally presented at The First International - Traditional Fool-Proof Research Symposium, April 1st, 1999, Drexel University, Philadelphia

© Copyright 1996

Note the following:

- 1 There are far too many beautiful, intelligent, useful, interesting, and worthy things to read, watch, listen to, eat, do etc. in the world. So many that one can never finish them all.
- 2 Therefore, from (1), one must never waste time with anything that is not among the most beautiful, intelligent, useful, interesting, and worthy.
- 3 These most beautiful, intelligent, useful, interesting, and worthy things are, however, inaccessible in practice to an isolated individual, since they are buried in orders of magnitude greater amounts of junk. Let N denote the total number of items and M the total number of worthy items where $M \ll N$. (M = rN) where $r \ll 1$. Let P denote the maximum number of items an individual can process during a lifetime, with $N \gg M \gg P$. (P = sM) where $s \ll 1$. For concreteness, let each item take T time to process and our lifespan be L. Then, L = PT.

Let t denote the time it takes to scan a certain item to decide whether it is worth spending time on. Let t=kT where $k\ll 1$. Let us assume we choose freely and randomly among the N items in the world and try to determine and process those among the worthy M. The following constraint applies:

$$L = J \left[T + \frac{Nt}{M} \right] = JT \left[1 + \frac{Nk}{M} \right] = JT \left[1 + \frac{k}{r} \right]$$

where J < P denotes items actually found worthy and processed. (We assume all items decided worthy are processed, since doing otherwise would be clearly suboptimal.)

Our purpose is of course to increase J assuming other parameters are given. [1+(k/r)] is the overhead factor. Now, in the real world I believe that it

is the case that $k \gg r$. (If k < r, then there is no problem. You just scan 1/r times as many items as you have time to process, which on the average should yield enough worthy material. The scanning overhead is negligible if $k \ll r$ or at least acceptable if $k \sim r$.) But if $k \gg r$, then either you have to consume lots of junk or waste a lot of time scanning, both reducing the amount of worthy things you can process. I believe this is the case in real life.

4 Let us now assume that there are q individuals who share the same concept of worthiness. Let each agree to notify all others of all worthy items they determine. (The burden associated with this is negligible.) In that case the time alloted to scanning by each is reduced by 1/q, so that the constraint becomes:

$$L = J \left[T + \frac{Nt}{Mq} \right] = JT \left[1 + \frac{Nk}{Mq} \right] = JT \left[1 + \frac{k}{rq} \right]$$

The larger q is, the better. But further increases in q have little benefit after k/rq < 1. Thus we may speak of an optimal value of q given by :

$$q = \frac{k}{r} = \frac{Nt}{MT}$$

which can be interpreted as the ratio of "the total amount of time needed to scan all the available items in the world" to "the total time needed to process all the worthy items in the world." This is how many friends you need (for this purpose, that is).

Note: In the above I assumed that there is a universal worthiness scale for everybody. The generalization to different priorities and tastes should follow easily. Future work may also include the problem of accessibility; in the above we have assumed we have instant access to all existing items, which is not the case in reality.


I would like to thank the members of the Mediterranean Discussion Group for their encouragement.

Mars Bars - Chickens - Budgies - Friends

The Journal of Irreproductible Results

The Science Humor Magazine





\$5.95July 2005
Volume 49,
Number 4

<u>www.jir.com</u>
ISSN 0022-2038



Deep-Space Hand Salutes
Alternative Medicine Malpractice
The Crossword Puzzle from Heli

The Journal of

reproductible Result

July 2005

Volume 49, number 4

letter: Saffhoppers Hans-Henning Muendel 3

letter: Additional Comments Concerning Calculating Good Deeds Joel Kirschbaum 3

letter: The Terror of Bose-Einstein Condensates Margaret E. Kosal 3

2006 Occupational Outlook for Colors – Microsoft Software, Employer Danila Oder 5

The Crossword Puzzle From Hell Karl M. Petruso 6

poem: An Ode to Obsessive Metrication William DeBuvitz 7

A Length of String Jim Stanfield 8

cartoon: String Theory Explained John Chase www.chasetoons.com 9

The Social String Theory - S2T Ron Schultz 10

Chickens in Space Kevin Paquet 12

- Unembargoed Report of Department of Defense Strategy #183,000: The Military's 13 Latest, Most Devastating Secret Weapon Lynne V. McFarland and Marc McFarland
- cartoons: Deep Space Hand Salutes John C. Holden www.JohnCHolden.com 16

Testing Military Electronics H. K. Mann 17

- Our Guarantee of Bug-Free Programs David W. Deley 17
- art: Space Station John C. Holden www.JohnCHolden.com 18

Sand vs Stars — The BS9 Project Dale Lowdermilk 20

Alternative Medicine Malpractice Joel Kirschbaum 26

poem: Sonnet Science Jack Paolin 28

An Absolutely Reliable Method For Determining Medical Career Choice Alfred J. Padilla 29

Memo from Lucinda Hopple, MD Danila Oder 30

Parents' and Researchers' Views of Children's Invisible Imaginary Companions Richard 31 H. Passman and Espen Klausen

The Optimal Number of Friends in the Information Age Haldun M. Ozaktas 32

A New Unit Which Can Be Used to Unify Quantum Physics and Motorbikes – the Mars Bar 34 Equivalent (Mbe) G. D. Csete de Gyorgyfalva and Wilson Wall

The Journal of

Irreproducible ResultsB, the Science Humor Magazine. www.jir.com.

Founded 1955 by Alexander Kohn and Harry J. Lipkin. Published by George H. Scherr, 1964-1989 and 1994-2003.

Norman Sperling is Editor,

Publisher, and Janitor.

Website Administrator: Richard Childers, www.daemonized.com Printed in Canada by Transcontinental O'Keefe, Bob Roy, representative.

Copyright

Copyright © 2005 by Norman Sperling, except where noted, and the photograph of the string, which is copyright @ 2005 by James Stanfield, and for which we thank him very much. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced by any mechanical, photographic, electronic, or positronic process, nor stored in a retrieval system, transmitted, or otherwise copied (except 1time, noncommercial, personal use) without written permission from the publisher.

"JIR" and "Journal of Irreproducible Results" are registered trademarks of Norman Sperling.

The Journal of Irreproducible Results® (ISSN 0022-2038) is published bimonthly at 413 Poinsettia Ave., San Mateo, CA 94403. Periodicals Postage Paid at San Mateo, CA, and at additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: Send address changes to The Journal of Irreproducible Results, 413 Poinsettia Ave., San Mateo, CA 94403-2803.