## A METHOD FOR NONLINEAR SYSTEM CLASSIFICATION IN THE TIME-FREQUENCY PLANE IN PRESENCE OF FRACTAL NOISE

Lorenzo Galleani, Letizia Lo Presti

Dipartimento di Elettronica, Politecnico di Torino, Corso Duca degli Abruzzi 24, I-10129 Torino, Italy, e-mail: galleani@polito.it

## ABSTRACT

This paper presents a method for the classification of nonlinear systems through the study of the free oscillations in the time-frequency plane, when the measured data are affected by fractal noise of the Wiener type. Nonconservative SDOF (Single Degree Of Freedom) oscillators described by a nonlinear second order differential equation are considered. The nonlinearity is due to a nonlinear function of the state variable, which produces free oscillations with a timevariant spectrum. The method used for the classification is a substantial modification of a basic algorithm proposed by the same authors for noise-free data. In presence of fractal noise improved performances are obtained with the new algorithm.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

This paper deals with the problem of classifying nonlinear systems described by a nonlinear differential equation

$$\ddot{u}(t) + c\dot{u}(t) + f(u(t)) = 0$$
(1)

where c is a small positive parameter, called *damping factor*, and f(u) is a function of the state variable u identifying the type of nonlinearity. The class of systems described by (1) is called *SDOF* (Single Degree Of Freedom), because a single one-dimensional state variable is present in the differential equation, and *nonconservative*, because of the positive damping c, which causes the signal u(t) to decay. A classical SDOF nonconservative system is the nonlinear oscillator.

Equation (1) is used as a fundamental model in many areas of science, and the study of its properties constitutes a subject of undoubted interest. In particular in identification problems (for example in structural diagnostic), the interest is often in the classification of the type of nonlinearity. In literature this problem is studied with methods based on the analytic signal [3], inverse Volterra-Wiener series [8], Higher Order Spectra (HOS) [7]. In [4] a completely new approach has been proposed, based on the identification of the nonlinear function f(u) (from now on, called *the non-linearity*) through the signal u(t) represented in the Time-Frequency plane. In this plane, as explained in Sect. 2.1, the nature of the *free oscillations* generated by the system, set up with initial conditions not too far from equilibrium (weak nonlinearity), can be easily observed. In fact, as it is well known from the theory of the nonlinear oscillations [6], the signal u(t) produced in this situation is generally composed of a time-variant fundamental frequency plus a set of time-variant higher harmonics. The time evolution and the energy of both fundamental and harmonics depend on the type of nonlinearity.

The method proposed in [4] is very efficient when data are noise-free. In this paper a modified algorithm is proposed, able to overcome the performance degradation due to the presence of fractal noise in the measured data.

Simulation results prove the validity of the method.

## 2. NONLINEAR OSCILLATORS

The nonlinearity in (1) is due to the function f(u). Only when f(u) = ku the system becomes linear, and (1) becomes the representative equation of the well known harmonic oscillator. In this case an exponentially damped sinusoid, with frequency  $f_0$ , is produced by the system, when set up with some initial values u(0),  $\dot{u}(0)$ . The generated signal is often referred to as free oscillation or oscillation, with frequency  $f_0$  independent from the amplitude of the oscillation.

In a nonlinear oscillator the frequency of the oscillation depends on the signal amplitude, and therefore it can vary according to the amplitude variations. Moreover the signal u(t) looses its exact sinusoidal behavior, and it will be composed by a fundamental frequency plus a set of higher harmonics.

The nonlinearities considered in this paper are indicated in Table 1. They are particularly interesting in structural diagnostic, where they describe the typical nonlinear behavior of beams under dynamic loading. Table 1: Nonlinearity Class. In row C is  $k_2 < k_1$ , while in row D is  $k_1 > k_2$ 



## 2.1. Time-Frequency Representation of the Free Oscillations

The Time-Frequency Distributions (TFD) are a powerful tool for the representation and the analysis of signals with time-varying spectra, [2]. Each TFD is defined as a two-dimensional transformation (from the *Time* domain to the *Time-Frequency* domain); a TFD, applied to a generic signal x(t), produces a two-dimensional function  $D_x(t, f)$ , defined in a plane, known as TF (Time-Frequency) plane.

The time variation of both the fundamental and the higher harmonics of the free oscillations are well represented in the TF plane. For what concerns the method proposed in this paper, the key point of this signal representation is the fact that all the useful information for the algorithm can be extracted from the TF plane.

#### 2.2. Instantaneous Frequency

A crucial point of the analysis based on TFDs is the possibility of associating an *instantaneous frequency*  $f_i(t)$  to a generic signal x(t), defined as the average frequency of x(t) at a particular time. It is well known [2], that the instantaneous frequency obtained with the Wigner Distribution (WD) exhibits a "physical" meaning in terms of harmonic decomposition of a signal x(t). For this reason the Wigner distribution will be used for characterising the time variation of the fundamental in our application.

# 3. DESCRIPTION OF THE CLASSIFICATION METHOD

The classification method proposed in this paper is based (as the basic algorithm in [4]) on the assumption that the time variation of the fundamental, denoted as  $f_0(t)$ , may be a discriminating element among different nonlinearities. The method is performed in three steps.

**Estimation in the TF Plane.** An estimate  $\hat{f}_0(t)$  of the fundamental frequency, based on the instantaneous frequency introduced in Sect. 2.2, is first accomplished. In [4] this has been done using the WD (Wigner Distribution) peak detection algorithm [1]. This algorithm simply evaluates the maximum of the Wigner Distribution at every time instant: this value is proved to be a good estimate of the instantaneous frequency. The WD peak method works well when applied on one-component signals with high SNR, but unfortunately it has very low performances when noise increases. In this paper the signal has been corrupted with additive fractal noise. In particular a Wiener process with power spectrum  $1/f^2$  numerically generated has been added to (u(t)). In Fig. 1, the Wigner Distribution of the noisy signal is shown, with SNR =-1.5dB (evaluated over the whole band of interest). Several comments can be done looking at this Time-Frequency Representation. First it is possible to recognize that the fundamental frequency associated to the free oscillation starts in t = 0 at the value f = 2.5Hz and moves toward higher frequencies. Second it can be seen that the energy concentration around the zero frequency is due to the Wiener process added to the signal. Third it can be noticed the presence of oscillating energy concentration localized between the fundamental and the zero frequency. This is the interference term produced by the Wigner Distribution when applied to a signal made of two principal components. Due to the presence of the fractal noise and



Figure 1: Wigner Distribution of the signal u(t) added with fractal noise

of the interference terms it is not possible to apply directly the WD peak algorithm to estimate  $\hat{f}_0(t)$ . The problem of the fractal noise can be solved with a digital highpass filtering, able to cut off the lowest frequencies in the spectrum, and hence in the TF plane. The great localization of the Wiener spectrum around the zero frequency makes this operation very effective. But the highpass filtering solves also the interference term problem: now, in fact, the filtered signal turns out to be one-component, and the interference term produced by the WD vanishes. Notice that a zero-phase distortion filtering has been used with a Butterworth highpass digital filter. In Fig. 2 the WD of the filtered signal is shown. Now the signal can be considered one-component with a good approximation, and it is possible to apply on it the WD peak detection algorithm, leading to the estimate  $f_0(t)$ ...

- Analytical Approximation. The second step of the method consists in producing an alternative expression  $\tilde{f}_0(t)$  of the fundamental related to the system parameters. Two approximations are necessary to obtain this expression.
  - Conservative Approximation. The nonconservative system described by (1), in the case of a weak nonlinearity, can be considered as locally conservative (c = 0), and then described by the equation

$$\ddot{u}(t) + f(u(t)) = 0$$
 (2)

valid in a short time interval. The oscillation u(t) will be periodic, but not sinusoidal [6]. Therefore it will be composed by a fundamental frequency and a number of harmonics. Because of



Figure 2: Wigner Distribution of the signal after the highpass filtering

the nonlinearity the fundamental frequency will be a function of its amplitude a.

An analytical approximation  $f_{0,A}$  of the fundamental can be obtained from (2) through the use of techniques, known in nonlinear analysis, as *Perturbation Methods* [5]. The approximations for the nonlinearities of Table 1 can be found in [4].

In general  $\tilde{f}_{0,A}$  depends on the parameters  $p_1$ ,  $p_2, \ldots p_n$  of the nonlinear function f(u), and on the oscillation amplitude a. Therefore it can be written as  $\tilde{f}_{0,A}(a; p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n)$ .

It is important to emphasize the fact that this expression is valid only locally. In the actual systems  $c \neq 0$ , so causing the fundamental amplitude to decay. The idea proposed in [4] is to assume the approximation  $\tilde{f}_{0,A}(a; p_1, \ldots, p_n)$  valid also in the case  $c \neq 0$ , by substituting the constant a with a time function a(t) representing the time variation of the fundamental amplitude. Therefore  $\tilde{f}_{0,A}(a; p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n)$  will be written as  $\tilde{f}_{0,A}(a(t); p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n)$ . The simulation results shown in [4] validate this assumption for simulated noise-free data.

Low-Energy Harmonics Approximation. An estimate â(t) of the fundamental amplitude is then obtained with sophisticated techniques of signal synthesis in the TF plane. This part is innovative with respect to the basic algorithm in [4], and represents the key point of the method when data are affected by noise. The idea is to construct a synthetic signal s(t), whose in-

stantaneous frequency is forced to be  $\hat{f}_0(t)$ , and with exponential decaying amplitude

$$s(t) = a(t)\cos\hat{\varphi}_0(t) = \beta e^{-\alpha t}\cos\hat{\varphi}_0(t) \quad (3)$$

where

$$\hat{\varphi}_0(t) = \int_0^t 2\pi \hat{f}_0(t') dt'$$
 (4)

Then a minimization problem in the least square sense is set up, between the Wigner distribution of the noisy signal and s(t)

$$\min_{\alpha,\beta} \|W[s] - W[u]\|_2^2$$
 (5)

The parameters  $\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}$  of the minimum point determine the estimated fundamental amplitude

$$\hat{a}(t) = \hat{\beta}e^{-\hat{\alpha}(t)} \tag{6}$$

Combining these two approximations, the expression of the fundamental is finally reached:

$$\begin{split} \tilde{f}_0(t) &= \tilde{f}_0(t; p_1, p_2, ..., p_n) \\ &= \tilde{f}_{0,\mathrm{A}}(\hat{a}(t); p_1, p_2, ..., p_n) \end{split}$$

The exponential model for the amplitude  $\hat{a}(t)$  turns out to be a good choice for the nonlinearity used later as an example in the validation section, but other models are also possible (one suitable in general is the polynomial one).

**Minimization Step.** The third step of the method is based on a matching algorithm between  $\tilde{f}_0(t; p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n)$ and  $\hat{f}_0(t)$ . The hypothesis is made that the nonlinearity responsible of a given measured signal u(t) should be able to best fit its fundamental  $\hat{f}_0(t)$ . For each nonlinearity the minimum  $M_i$  of  $||\tilde{f}_0(t; p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n) - \hat{f}_0(t)||$  is evaluated for each nonlinearity. In our example  $i \in [1, 5]$  is an index for the five nonlinearities of Table 1. Nonlinearities are then classified with respect to the distance  $M_i$  reached in this data fitting problem. The identified nonlinearity is the one that reaches the minimum  $M_i$ .

Notice that this method is also able to assign a set of estimated parameters  $(\hat{p}_1, \hat{p}_2, \dots, \hat{p}_n)$  to  $M_i$ . Therefore both classification and parameters estimation are attained with the proposed algorithm.

## 4. VALIDATION OF THE METHOD

The method has been validated by simulation for the five nonlinearities in Table 1. Five test signals  $u_I(t)$  (*I*=A, B, C, D, E) were created by simulation, each one representing



Figure 3: Results of the classification process. Solid line with value equal to 1: Normalized minimum reached by nonlinearity type C. Circles ("O"): Normalized minimum distances reached by the best competitor of C

the free oscillation generated by a non linear system of type I. The results of the five tests, shown in [4], validate the method in the ideal case of noise-free data.

In case of noisy data similar results have been obtained. A signal u(t) has been generated with the nonlinearity type C of Table 1. Then a fractal noise of the Wiener type has been added to this signal, with SNR values of 20, 10, 5, 0, -5, -10, -15, -20 dB. Ten noisy signals have been generated for each SNR value.

The method has been applied to this set of signals, and the results are shown in Fig. 3. The solid line with constant value equal to one represents the normalized minimum reached by the approximation function type C. The circles ("O") represent the normalized minimum reached by the "best competitor" of C, that is to say the approximation function that gets nearest to the minimum reached by nonlinearity C (the normalization has been obtained by dividing for each minimum obtained by C). For this kind of problem the best competitor is always nonlinearity type B. It is possible to see that all the circles are located in the bottom of the diagram, and this means that the approximation function type C always reaches the minimum distance with respect to the others. The identified nonlinearity is hence always type C, the correct one, proving the validity of the method. It is also possible to notice that the variance of the estimate increases as the SNR decreases. From the obtained results it is possible to infer that the proposed method should have a good behaviour for fractal noise with power spectrum  $1/f_{\alpha}$ when  $\alpha > 2$ . In fact, as  $\alpha$  increases, the spectrum turns out to be more and more concentrated around the zero frequency, making the highpass filtering more effective.

## 5. CONCLUSION

In this paper an original method for the classification of nonlinear systems has been presented, based on the timefrequency analysis of the free oscillations. The method is robust, as it works also in presence of high-level fractal noise.

### 6. REFERENCES

- B. Boashash, *Time-frequency signal analysis: methods and applications*. Melbourne: Longman Cheshire, 1992
- [2] L. Cohen, *Time-frequency analysis*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall, 1995
- [3] M. Feldman and S. Braun, "Identification of nonlinear system parameters via the instantaneous frequency: application of the Hilbert transform and Wigner Ville techniques," in *Proc. 13th International IMAE*, 1995, pp. 637-642.
- [4] L. Galleani, L. Lo Presti, A. De Stefano, "A Method for Nonlinear System Classification in the Time-Frequency Plane," Fast Communication on Signal Processing, Vol. 65, No. 1, 1998
- [5] A. H. Nayfeh, *Perturbation methods*. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 1973
- [6] A. H. Nayfeh, *Nonlinear oscillations*. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 1979
- [7] C. L. Nikias and A. Petropulu Higher-Order Spectral Analysis: A Nonlinear Signal Processing Framework. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall, 1993
- [8] M. Schetzen, The Volterra and Wiener theories of nonlinear systems. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 1980